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Abstract

In complicated scene, in order to solve the temporal occlusion problem of target tracking, a novel particle filter tracking algorithm based on graphical model is proposed. Graphical model is applied to particle filtering in this method. Firstly, dividing the target into several key regions, and extracting the characteristic value of each region. Then, these regions are applied to construct graphical model. In the process of target tracking by using particle filter method, graphical models can compensate for the lacking information of the occluded region. The state of the occluded part can be inferred by the graphical model. Finally, experimental results have demonstrated that the proposed tracking algorithm is effective, and it can reliably track moving target.
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1. Introduction

Target tracking have been widely used in monitoring, behavior analysis and human-computer interaction [1-3]. Recently, Particle filters have been often used for target tracking [4-7]. Scholars mainly research the observation model and sampling algorithm about particle filter. As for study of the observation model, P. Perez et al. [8] and K. Nummiaro et al. [9] proposed particle filter methods based on color feature, Establishing object color probability density distribution model with kernel function histogram and the sample observation probability function. In order to make up for lost color histogram object space information, H. Wang et al. [10-11] proposed a color space gaussian mixture model. By modeling in the color distribution and spatial information, the algorithm can more accurately track object. A. Loza et al. [12] introduced a structure similarity mode to the target tracking, and establish reasonable observation model, the method can effectively deal with scale change and gray change. X. Xu et al. [13] proposed that a person’s head is represented by using color and gradient features, and the weight is calculated by average sum about the observation likelihood value of two features, the method can effectively deal with the head rotation. As for one of the sampling algorithm of the panicule filters, the Condensation algorithm was introduced by M. Isard et al. [14]. This algorithm has been typically used for tracking problems of moving object contours. For another particle filter, G. Kitagawa [15] introduced Monte Carlo filter.

Graphical models solve computer vision problems powerfully. Recently, particle filters were used for graphical models [16-17]. These scholars combined belief propagation with particle filtering. E.B. Sudderth et al. [17] employed the Nonparametric Belief Propagation algorithm which can understand graphical models, and apply it to infer location and reconstruct occluded features of faces. However, they need high computational cost and can not apply to real-time tracking. In order to reduce calculation cost, we use simple graphs and make the local maxima potentially posterior density by using a different method from these. We use graphical models to compensate for the lack of the information of the occluded part.
We propagate messages from a node to other nodes of graphs. On the one hand, in spite of simple graphs, we can avoid misleading information and obtain the accurate trajectory of the object motion stably. On the other hand, the state of occluded parts from visible parts can be estimated.

2. Particle Filter

About particle filter, the probability density of the tracked object is approximated by a set of particles $S^{(i)}$, $S^{(i)} = \{x^{(i)}, \pi^{(i)}\}, i = 1, \cdots, N$. $x^{(i)}$ denotes the hypothetical state of the tracked object and $\pi^{(i)}$ denotes its weight. Here, the state is treated as the position of the object. The particles propagate according to a state space model. When the observation vector $Z_k$ is obtained at time $k$, the probability density of the state of a tracked object is represented as a posterior density $p(x_k | z_k)$. In the particle filters, this posterior density $p(x_k | z_k)$ is derived from Bayes rule:

$$p(x_k | z_k) = \frac{1}{Z} p(z_k | x_k) p(x_k | z_{k-1})$$

(1)

Where, $Z$ is a normalization factor. Calculating the likelihood, we use Bhattacharyya distance measuring similarity between two color distributions. Target color distribution use color histograms of positional information. Assuming the color information in the RGB space is divided into the levels, which is $m=16 \times 16 \times 16$. We obtain the target color distribution $p$ of the target template and the color distribution $q$ of the candidate target.

Using the Bhattacharyya distance, We measure the similarity between target template color distribution which are denoted by $p$ and the candidate target color distribution which are denoted by $q$. The Bhattacharyya distance is given as:

$$d = \sqrt{1 - \rho[p, q]}$$

(2)

$$\rho[p, q] = \sum_{u=1}^{m} \sqrt{p^{(u)} q^{(u)}}$$

(3)

Where, $\rho$ is Bhanacharyya coefficient. The color of the target observation likelihood function is defined as:

$$p(z_k | x_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - \rho[p(x^{(i)}), q])}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

(4)

3. Particle Filter Method based on Graph Model

In this paper, we compensate for the lack of the information (e.g. the occluded part) by using graphical models. In the conventional method, the region to make the color histogram often obtained from the whole tracked object. In our proposed method, the regions are obtained from the parts of the divided object. We set the parts to nodes of the graph and propagate messages from a node to other nodes of graphs. By this means, when the part of the object is occluded, we can avoid misleading information and obtain the trajectory of the object motion stably. In this section, we explain particle filter method based on graph model.

3.1 Graph Model

A graph $G$ is defined by a set of nodes $V$, and a corresponding set of edges $E$. The neighborhood of a node $\alpha$ ($\alpha \in V$) is defined as $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{\beta | (\alpha, \beta) \in E\}$. $x_{\alpha,k}$ and $z_{\alpha,k}$ are respectively the state and the observation of the node $\alpha$ at time $k$. $m_{\alpha\beta}$ is the message.
from the node $\alpha$ to the node $\beta$, this message works to transfer the information of nodes to neighbor nodes. In order to simplification, we consider models with potential functions for each node as follow:

$$p(x_{a,k}, z_{a,k}) = \frac{1}{Z} \{ \phi_{a}(x_{a,k}, z_{a,k}) + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma(a)} \phi_{a,\beta}(x_{a,k}, x_{\beta,k}) \} \quad (5)$$

Where, $Z$ is the normalization factor. In this paper, we calculate the posterior density $p(x_{a,k} \mid z_{k})$ according to these potential functions, and approximate the posterior density by using messages. The state $x_{a,k}$ is estimated by using these approximated densities.

3.2. Update and Prediction of Particles

In the paper, we have two stage using particle. One is the update, and another is the prediction. Updated and predicted particles of the node $\alpha$ at time $K$ are defined as:

$$\bar{x}_{k} = E[x_{k} \mid z_{k}, ..., z_{1}] \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{x}_{k} = E[x_{k} \mid z_{k-1}, ..., z_{1}] \quad (7)$$

We use these particles properly in the iterative computation of the tracking algorithm. In the improved particle filter, each particle has state and weights. The i-th updated and predicted particles at time $k$ are represented by $\bar{s}_{k}^{(i)} = \{ \bar{x}_{k}^{(i)}, \bar{z}_{k}^{(i)} \}_{i=1,...,N}$ and $\hat{s}_{k}^{(i)} = \{ \hat{x}_{k}^{(i)}, \hat{z}_{k}^{(i)} \}_{i=1,...,N}$.

3.3. Posterior Density

In general the particle filter, the posterior density is approximated according to assumption that the temporal transition of the state is simple Markov process. In this paper, the relation term between neighbor nodes is added to the posterior density of the state of the node $\alpha$ at time $k$:

$$p' (x_{a,k} \mid z_{a,k}, z_{\Gamma(a),k}) = \frac{1}{Z} \{ p(x_{a,k} \mid z_{a,k}) + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma(a)} \phi_{a,\beta}(x_{a,k}, x_{\beta,k}) p(x_{\beta,k} \mid z_{\beta,k}) \} \quad (8)$$

Where, $\phi_{a,\beta}$ is the related potential function between the node $\alpha$ and the node $\beta$. The second term of above equation is approximated by message particles. The details about message particles are shown in the following subsection.

From the posterior density $p'$, the expected value of a function $f(x_{a,k})$ is given as:

$$E[f(x_{a,k})] = \int f(x_{a,k}) p'(x_{a,k} \mid z_{a,k}, z_{\Gamma(a),k}) dx_{a,k} \quad (9)$$

3.4. Message Propagation

The particles of the general particle filter propagate through the Markov chain temporally. In addition, the message particles propagate through the edges of the graph spatially. In this paper, we use the graphical model which has 2 nodes, the graphical models are connected by the Markov chain as shown in Figure 1. The message flows are shown as arrows in Figure 2.

---

**Figure 1. Graph Models which have 2 Nodes Connected by Markov Chains**
Figure 2. Propagation of the Predicted Particles and the Message Particles

In Eq. (8), The message particles play the role of the approximation of the second term. Here we reinterpret the second term of it as:

\[
\phi_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{\alpha,k}, x_{\beta,k}) = \frac{1}{Z} p(z_{\alpha,k} | x_{\beta,k}) p(x_{\alpha,k} | z_{\beta,k})
\]

(10)

Where, \( Z \) is the normalization factor. From Eq.(10),we approximate it by using the message particle-set \( m_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)} = \{x_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)}, \pi_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)}\} \) from the node \( \beta \) to node \( \alpha \). The weight of the message particle is defined as:

\[
\pi_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)} = p(z_{\alpha,k} | x_{\beta,k} = x_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)})
\]

(11)

In fact, we make the message particles by propagating between the nodes as below:

\[
x_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)} = A_{\beta\alpha,k} x_{\beta,k} + b_{\beta\alpha,k}
\]

(12)

\( A_{\beta\alpha,k} \) and \( b_{\beta\alpha,k} \) are respectively the propagation matrix and vector from the node \( \beta \) to the node \( \alpha \) at time \( k \). The state of the node \( \alpha \) is estimated according to the distribution of updated particles:

\[
E[x_{\alpha,k}] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_{\alpha,k}^{(i)} \hat{x}_{\alpha,k} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)} \hat{x}_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)}
\]

(13)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_{\alpha,k}^{(i)} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_{\beta\alpha,k}^{(i)} = 1
\]

(14)

4. Algorithm Design

4.1 Motion Model

The target is denoted by the rectangle, \( x_k \) is the state vector at a time \( k \), it is \([o_x, o_y, v_x, v_y, w, h]\), \( o_x, o_y \) are the center coordinate of the rectangle, \( v_x, v_y \) are respectively the velocity of the two axes direction, \( w, h \) are respectively width and height of the rectangle. The motion model is used in the sampling step of particle filters, our motion model is described as:

\[
x_k = F x_{k-1} + G w_k
\]

(15)

Where, \( F \) is the transition matrix, \( G \) is the system noise matrix, \( F \) and \( G \) are defined as shown in Eq.(16). \( \Delta T \) is sampling period. \( w_k \) is a Gaussian noise vector, whose covariance matrix is denoted by \( Q_k = E[w_k w_k^T] \).
\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \Delta T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \Delta T & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \Delta T & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad G = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\Delta T^2}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\Delta T^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\Delta T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \Delta T & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (16)

In practical application, the movement velocity of target is usually changing, so, to reflect change in the movement velocity of target, \(v_x, v_y\) are respectively described as:

\[
v_x = \lambda(o_{x_{k-1}} - o_{y_{k-2}}) + (1 - \lambda)(o_{y_{k-2}} - o_{y_{k-3}}),
\]

\[
v_y = \lambda(o_{y_{k-1}} - o_{y_{k-2}}) + (1 - \lambda)(o_{y_{y_{k-2}} - o_{y_{y_{k-3}}}}),
\]

\[t \geq 3, \frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1\] (17)

### 4.2. Algorithm Steps

In this section, our proposed tracking algorithm is explained as follows:

Step 1: Prepare the graph \(G\) of the tracked object and the node set \(\alpha\) of the graph and initialize the updated particle-set \(\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,0} = \{\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,0}, \hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,0}\}_{i=1,\ldots,N}\)

Step 2: Predict the particle-set at the next time-step. Make the predicted particle-set \(\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k-1}\) by using selected previous updated particle-set \(\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k-1}\) the state of the predicted particle is generated as:

\[
\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k} = F\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k-1} + G\omega^{(i)}_{\alpha,k-1}
\] (18)

Where \(\omega^{(i)}_{\alpha,k-1}\) is a multivariate Gaussian random variable.

Step 3: Color observation likelihood function value is calculated by using Eq.(4). Calculate the weight of each particle as below:

\[
\tilde{z}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - \rho[p(x^{(i)}_{\alpha,k}, q_x)])}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\] (19)

Step 4: Message particle sets. Calculate the state and the weight of message \(m^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k} (\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha), l = 1, \cdots, n)\) from neighbor nodes \(m_{\beta,\alpha,k}\) as below:

\[
x^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k} = A^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k} \hat{x}^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k} + b^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k}
\] (20)

\[
\pi^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k} = \tilde{z}^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k}
\] (21)

Step 5: Select \(N\) samples from the predicted particle-set \(\hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k}\) and message particle-set \(m^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k}\). The normalized cumulative probability \(c^{(i)}_{\alpha,k}\) is calculated as below:

\[
c^{(0)}_{\alpha,k} = 0
\] (22)

\[
c^{(n+1)i-n}_{k} = c^{(n+1)i-n-1}_{k} + \tilde{z}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k}
\] (23)

\[
c^{(n+1)i-n+l}_{k} = c^{(n+1)i-n+l-1}_{k} + \pi^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k}
\] (24)

\[
\frac{c^{(i)}_{k}}{c^{(i)}_{k}} = \frac{c^{(i)}_{k}}{c^{(i)}_{k}}
\] (25)

Generate a uniform distribution random number \(r \in [0, 1]\). Find the smallest \(j\) satisfied as \(c^{(j)}_{k} \geq r\). Set \(\tilde{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k} = \hat{x}^{(i)}_{\alpha,k}\) or \(m^{(i)}_{\beta,\alpha,k}\).
Step 6: Estimate the position $x_{a,k}$ of each node of the target by Eq.(13).
Step 7: Set $k = k + 1$, and go to step 2.

5. Experimental Analysis

We made experiments to confirm effectiveness of our proposed method by two video sequences. The size of images is $384 \times 288$ in sequence 1, the size of images is $352 \times 288$ in sequence 2. We wrote the algorithm in Matlab2009.

We compared our proposed method and the conventional color-based tracking using the particle filter algorithm, the hardware platform is Pentium Dual, 2.6 GHz, memory is 2G.

1) For sequence 1, we track an object by using the conventional method and our proposed method. We selected the initial target area in conventional method, the area set 50 particles. In our method, we selected two initial areas, the graph that had 2 nodes was adopted. Each node and each message have respective 25 particles.

Figure 3 shows the result of experiment by the conventional color-based tracking using the particle filter algorithm and Figure 4 shows the result by using the proposed method. The results show that, when the object had the occluded part. The tracking object will mistake by the conventional method. In our proposed method, the position was estimated stably, and the position of the occluded part was estimated.

Figure 7 shows comparison of mean square errors of the estimation between our proposed method and the conventional method. In this experiment, the object had the occluded part from frame 25 to frame 65. The estimation of conventional method fluctuated more widely than the proposed method.

2) For sequence 2, we track an object by using the conventional method and our proposed method. We selected the initial target area in conventional method, the area set 60 particles. In our method, we selected two initial areas, the graph that had 2 nodes was adopted. Each node and each message have respective 30 particles.

Figure 5 shows the result of experiment by the conventional color-based tracking using the particle filter algorithm. From these experiments, when the object had the occluded part, the position of the estimation was affected widely by another pedestrian. Figure 6 shows the result by using the proposed method. In this case, when partial occlusion occurred, the position of the pedestrian was estimated stably.

Figure 8 shows comparison of two methods when the partial occlusion occurred from frame 65 to frame 75. We can confirm that our proposed method demonstrates the better performance than the conventional method.

3) Comparisons of the execution time. The execution time associated with these two video clips is listed in Table 1. From Table 1, as compared to the results from particle filter method based on color, the execution time of our proposed method is little and the efficiency is higher.

From these experiments, our proposed method is effective. When the object had the occluded part, the object can be reliably tracking.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, in order to track moving object reliably and obtain accurate object trajectory, we study the probability method and graph model, we incorporated particle filtering into graphical models. Use it to realize reliable tracking. Especially when the object had the occluded part, we used graphical models to compensate for the lack of the information of the occluded part. We made experiments to confirm effectiveness of this proposed method. This method not only can be reliably tracking moving target, but also the position of the occluded part can be estimated from visible parts.
Figure 3. Target Tracking Experiment by using Conventional Method in Sequence 1

Figure 4. Target Tracking Experiment by using our Proposed Method in Sequence 1

Figure 5. Target Tracking Experiment by using Conventional Method in Sequence 2

Figure 6. Target Tracking Experiment by using our Proposed Method in Sequence 2

Figure 7. Comparison of Mean Square Errors of the Estimated Positions between a Conventional Method and our Proposed Method in Sequence 1
Figure 8. Comparison of Mean Square Errors of the Estimated Positions between a Conventional Method and our Proposed Method in Sequence 2

Table 1. Comparisons of the Execution Time from Two Video Sequences (unit: second)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sequence</th>
<th>Frame number</th>
<th>Proposed method</th>
<th>Particle filter based on color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sequence 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>17.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequence 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>17.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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