Improvement Direct Power Control on PWM using Modified Deadbeat Controller
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Abstract

Direct power control is a new control method of three-phase PWM converters. In this way, the internal current loop control is eliminated and the switching converter is determined, at any moment, in an appropriate manner and based on the instantaneous value of the angular location vector error active and reactive power and voltage AC source. The greatest advantage of this method is to remove flow and voltage modulator control. However, variable switching frequency and the need for sampling frequency and the large inductance value is a disadvantage. In this paper, a new method for direct control of power converters based on deadbeat controller is recommended. The controller parameters are improving by Taguchi method which is a powerful technique in designing of experiments. The result show that the controller can track the desired value in different types.
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1. Introduction

Recently, the sources of renewable energy such as solar and wind energy have become among most eye-catching foundations because of their economic considerations as well as environmental hazards and limitations for societies and governments. However, these sources cannot produce consistent electrical energy faced with characteristics of current power grid. According to this problem, an electronic converter is essential to transfer generated electricity from these environmental sources to a power grid [1-3]. Pulse-width modulated (PWM) voltage source converters (VSCs) are the most generally used solution to behave as an economic converter [1, 2]. Providing sinusoidal currents and converting correctly is a challenge. To overcome this phenomenon, many control theories have been considered. Voltage oriented control [2], proportional-resonant control[4] and direct power control [5], are already presented in the literature. The main cause of considering these controllers are simplification and using in different applications. Among these deadbeat controllers are often considers as classical feedback controller where the control efforts are determined using a lookup- in a plant system [6-8]. Optimizing designed controller was one of the important challenge in power systems [9-11]. Using evolutionary algorithms like genetic algorithm[12] has many advantages for seeking the global point of performance in a plant [13]. Nevertheless, the lack of a precise model and using practical advices may decrease of these evolutionary algorithms. Among these, designing experiments for a power system may cause many cost and hazards. Taguchi method is a powerful DOE method which decrease the number of experiments [14-16]. This procedure can find the most effective parameter and show how the effective levels in each parameter can optimize the target of the system. In this paper after modeling a PWM system using mathematical and physical models, a deadbeat controller will be designed. Optimization of this controller for decreasing the fluctuations and meeting the target is considered using Taguchi method. The
result show how this experimental optimization method can achieve the modified parameter in a power control system.

2. Modeling

A schematic model of three phase PWM connected grid is shown in Figure 1. This simplified model illustrates a power grid, filtration section, IGBT switches and DC port capacitor.

![Figure 1. Three Phase PWM-Vsc Connected Grid](image)

A mathematical model for this schematic model can be shown as (1) in stationary reference source.

\[
v_{\alpha\beta} = r_L i_{\alpha\beta} + L \frac{d (i_{\alpha\beta})}{dt} + v_{\alpha\beta}
\]

Where, \(v_{\alpha\beta}\), \(v_{\alpha\beta}\) are voltage of converter, voltage of grid and grid currents in the \(\alpha\beta\) reference source, respectively. Moreover, \(L\) and \(r_L\) are inductance and equivalent resistance of the proposed filter, respectively. Considering a stable and sinusoidal three-phase system, the grid voltage modules can be introduced as (2) in \(\alpha\beta\) reference frame.

\[
\begin{align*}
    v_{s\alpha} &= V_m \sin(\omega t) \\
    v_{s\beta} &= V_m \cos(\omega t)
\end{align*}
\]

By differentiating (2) respect to time we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
    v'_{s\alpha} &= \omega V_m \cos(\omega t) \\
    v'_{s\beta} &= -\omega V_m \sin(\omega t)
\end{align*}
\]

This system can be expressed as a state space which is introduced as (4):

\[
\dot{x} = Ax(t) + Bu(t)
\]
By Discretizing (4) with a sampling time, we have:

\[ x(k+1) = A_d x(k) + B_d u(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ A_d = L^{-1} \left[ (sI - A)^{-1} \right] \approx I + AT_x \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where \( s \) is operator of Laplace and \( L^{-1}[s] \) is the inverse Laplace transform. The simulation of this system with facing no controller is shown in Figure 2. This open-loop response shows a controller is essential to overcome the output errors.

Figure 2. Open-loop Response

3. Design of Controller

One of useful control method is deadbeat controller which calculates the vector of voltage desire to remain the active and reactive power at zero. This method uses a discrete mathematical model of the plant. [8, 17]. The problem is determining input value signal for a discrete system to achieve the smallest number of time steps in steady state. In an \( N \)-th order linear system we can show that the minimum amount of steps goes to \( N \). The deadbeat response has the following characteristics Figure 4.
A scheme of model and proposed controller is shown in Figure 4. The transfer function of plant and controller is shown by $G_p(z)$ and $D_c(z)$, respectively in (7) and (8).

Figure 4. A Schematic of the Main System Controller Function

$$M(z) = \frac{C(z)}{R(z)} = \frac{D_c(z)G_p(z)}{1 + D_c(z)G_p(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$D_c(z) = \frac{1}{G_p(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - M(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Signal error can calculated as shown in (9).

$$E(z) = R(z) - C(z) = \frac{R(z)}{1 + D_c(z)G_p(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Supposing a fraction (10).

$$R(z) = \frac{A(z)}{(1 - z^{-1})^N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where $A(z)$ is $(z-1)$ order polynomial and $N$ is appositive integer. Zero steady state error can be expressed as (11)
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} e(kT) = \lim_{z \to 1} \left(1 - z^{-1}\right) E(z) = \lim_{z \to 1} \frac{\left(1 - z^{-1}\right)A(z)(1 - M(z))}{\left(1 - z^{-1}\right)^N} = 0
\]

Where

\[
M(z) = 1 - (1 - z^{-1})^N \quad F(z) = \frac{Q(z)}{z^p}, \quad p > N
\]

The controller rule can be obtained as (13)

\[
G_p(z) = g_n z^{-n} + g_{n+1} z^{-n-1} + \ldots
\]

\[
M(z) = m_k z^{-k} + m_{k+1} z^{-k-1} + \ldots
\]

Which can be simplified as (14).

\[
D_c(z) = d_{k-n} z^{-(k-n)} + d_{k-n+1} z^{-(k-n+1)} + \ldots
\]

4. Optimizing Controller

The controller transfer function was designed in the previous section can be introduced in (15)

\[
G_c(z) = P_1 / G_p(z) + P_2 / (z - P_3)
\]

Where \(P_1\), \(P_2\) and \(P_3\) are three parameters should be achieved by Taguchi method. The \(G(p)\) is a transfer function of system

Taguchi method is a powerful tool for characterization, design and performance optimization [14-16, 18-20]. The Taguchi experimental design method offers a wide range of applications, with advantages such as simple concept, ease of use, as well as variation reduction [21].

This technique has seven steps [21].

1- Purpose a function that needs to be improved.
2- Purpose of controllable factors and their levels.
3- Variety of an appropriate orthogonal array.
4- Performing the experiments and measuring outputs.
5- Calculation of S/N ratio.
6- Choosing the parameters corresponding to optimal conditions, studying the data and prediction of output in optimum case.
7- Showing the confirmation test.

In Taguchi method, a loss function is used to take the cost of deviation from target into consideration. The loss function is further transformed into S/N ratio. It delivers a measure, to comprehend the impact of noise factors on the performance of the
system. In this study, the smaller error of observed and tracked value is a better performance (16).

\[
SNR_{SB} = -10\log\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2\right)
\]  

(16)

In this study, three control parameters are investigated by Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Results and Simulation

In this study, Matlab-Simulink is employed for simulation. Figure 5 shows the entire blocks in Simulink environment.

![Figure 5. Simulation and Control Systems in Simulink](image)

The result will be as follows in Figure 6. As shown, some random desired values have been examined and controller could track all of the desired values. The primary intention of using these random values is to investigate if controller could withstand changing the conditions.
The fluctuations may be seen in Figure 6 can be omitted by optimizing the control parameters.

The experimental data for this problem was simulated in MATLAB and the error of desired and feedback value was recorded in each experiment. The results are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Experimental Data with Taguchi L25**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p1</th>
<th>p2</th>
<th>p3</th>
<th>error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>480.2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350.4732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>194.1568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.479652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>243.8331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>350.4732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>191.7041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.516491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>232.7491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>339.6917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>194.1568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.516491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>229.2767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>334.7156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>234.3761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.479652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>232.7491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>334.7156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>232.6059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The immunizing error was the aim of this study. For this reason, smaller better for signal to noise ratio index is selected. Three parameters are compared in Figure 7, where $p_3$ was the effective parameter and $p_1$ and $p_2$ are not as effective as variables in control designing. However, the fourth level of these parameter are the highest SNR value which address the minimum error for implementing deadbeat controller system. The effective parameter can also be checked by analysis of variance which is shown in Table 3. The most effective parameter in ANOVA table was demonstrated by the minimum of $p$-value which is $p_3$ as described before.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>SeqSS</th>
<th>SSAdj</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14153</td>
<td>14153</td>
<td>14153</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14153</td>
<td>14153</td>
<td>14153</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>143617</td>
<td>143617</td>
<td>143617</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>240690</td>
<td>240690</td>
<td>11461</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>412614</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Signal to Noise Ratio Graph

Table 3. Analysis of Variance
The result of improved controller for two step and sinusoidal input are shown in Figure 6. The figures show how the improved controller can decrease the fluctuation and increase the performance of power system.

![Figure 6. Result of Deadbeat Controller Improved by Taguchi Method](image1)

6. Conclusion

In this paper, a deadbeat controller for direct control of power converters based on PWM deadbeat controller is employed. To face in a real environment and find a procedure for designing effective controller, three parameter of deadbeat compensators are considered and investigated by Taguchi method which is powerful method in design of experiment procedure. The designed controller shows a reliable result for tracking desired values.
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