

Bayesian Approach in Estimation of Scale Parameter of Nakagami Distribution

Azam Zaka¹ and Ahmad Saeed Akhter²

*College of Statistical and Actuarial Sciences, University of the Punjab, Lahore,
Pakistan*

¹*azamzka@gmail.com*, ²*asakhtar@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Nakagami distribution is a flexible lifetime distribution that may offer a good fit to some failure data sets. It has applications in attenuation of wireless signals traversing multiple paths, deriving unit hydrographs in hydrology, medical imaging studies etc. In this research, we obtain Bayesian estimators of the scale parameter of Nakagami distribution. For the posterior distribution of this parameter, we consider Uniform, Inverse Exponential and Levy priors. The three loss functions taken up are Squared Error Loss Function (SELF), Quadratic Loss Function (QLF) and Precautionary Loss Function (PLF). The performance of an estimator is assessed on the basis of its relative posterior risk. Monte Carlo Simulations are used to compare the performance of the estimators. It is discovered that the PLF produces the least posterior risk when uniform priors is used. SELF is the best when inverse exponential and Levy Priors are used.

Keywords: *Nakagami distribution, bayesian estimation, square error loss function, quadratic loss function, precautionary loss function*

1. Introduction

Nakagami distribution was proposed for modeling the fading of radio signals (Nakagami, 1960). Numerous parametric models are used in the analysis of lifetime data and in problems related to the modeling of failure processes. Among univariate models, a few particular distributions occupy a central role because of their demonstrated usefulness in a wide range of situations. This category contains the Exponential, Weibull, Gamma and Lognormal distributions.

Nakagami distribution is also a flexible life time distribution model that may offer a good fit to some sets of failure data. It has been used to model attenuation of wireless signals traversing multiple paths. The Nakagami-m distribution is widely used to model the fading of radio signals and other areas of communicational engineering. It can also be used in hydrology to derive the unit hydrographs. It has the applications in medical imaging studies to model the ultrasounds especially in Echo (heart efficiency test). It is also useful for modeling high-frequency seismogram envelopes. The reliability theory and reliability engineering also make extensive use of the Nakagami distribution. Because of the memory less property of this distribution, it is well suited to model the constant hazard rate portion and used in reliability theory. It is also very convenient because it is so easy to add failure rates in a reliability model.

The probability density function of the distribution is given as

$$f(x, \lambda, \beta) = \frac{2\lambda^\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda)\beta^\lambda} x^{2\lambda-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda x^2}{\beta}} \quad ; \quad x > 0 \quad (1)$$

Where, $\lambda \geq 0$ is the shape parameter and $\beta > 0$ is scale parameter, also $\text{mean} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\right)^{1/2}$ and $\text{variance} = \beta \left\{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}\right)^{1/2}\right\}$. It collapses to Rayleigh distribution when $\lambda = 1$ and half normal distribution $\lambda = 0.5$.

Hoffman [1] first time used this distribution to model the attenuation of wireless signals traversing multiple paths. Valentine [2] analyzed the bit error rate (BER) performance of an M-branch maximal-ratio combiner (MRC) for the detection of signals in a correlated Nakagami-fading channel. Lin and Yang [4] investigated and derived the statistical model of spatial-chromatic distribution of images. Through extensive evaluation of large image databases, they discovered that a two-parameter Nakagami distribution well suits the purpose. Abdi and Kaveh [3] have shown that this distribution is useful for modeling multipath faded envelope in wireless channels and also estimated the shape parameter of the distribution. Zhang [6] introduced a direct-sum decomposition principle and determined the statistical mapping between the correlated Nakagami process and a set of Gaussian vectors for its generation. A simple general procedure is derived for the generation of correlated Nakagami channels with arbitrary parameters. Cheng and Beaulieu [5] considered the maximum-likelihood estimation of the Nakagami shape parameter m . Two new estimators were proposed and examined. The sample mean and the sample variance of the new estimators were compared with the best reported estimator. The new estimators offered superior performance. Shankar [7] and Tsui *et al.* [8] use the Nakagami distribution to model ultrasound data in medical imaging studies. Tsui *et al.* [11] showed that Nakagami parameter, estimated using ultrasonic back scattered envelopes, compressed by logarithmic computation denoted by m -log is more sensitive than the original Nakagami parameter m calculated using uncompressed envelopes for detecting the variations of scatter concentration in tissues. Kim and Latch man [9] used the Nakagami distribution in their analysis of multimedia. Sarkar *et al.* [10] investigated the adequacy of this distribution to derive the Geomorphological Instantaneous Unit Hydrographs (GIUH) along with two parameter Logistic, two parameter Weibull and two parameter Gamma distributions. They compared the results of Nakagami distribution with other existing approaches and found that this distribution based on GIUH can be good substitute to other existing approaches. Schwartz *et al.* [12] developed and evaluated analytic and bootstrap bias-corrected maximum likelihood estimators for the shape parameter in the Nakagami distribution. It was found that both “corrective” and “preventive” analytic approaches to eliminating the bias are equally, and extremely, effective and simple to implement. Dey [13] obtained Bayes estimators for the unknown parameter of inverse Rayleigh distribution using Squared error and Linex loss function. Kazmi *et al.* [16] compared class of life time distributions for Bayesian analysis. They studied properties of Bayes estimators of the parameter using different loss functions via simulated and real life data. Feroze [15] discussed the Bayesian analysis of the scale parameter of inverse Gaussian distribution. Feroze and Aslam [14] found the Bayesian estimators of the scale parameter of Error function distribution. Different informative and non informative priors were used to derive the corresponding posterior distribution. Yahgmaei *et al.* [17] proposed classical and Bayesian approaches for estimating the scale parameter in the inverse Weibull distribution when shape parameter is known. He derived the Bayes estimators for the scale parameter in Inverse Weibull distribution, by considering Quasi, Gamma and uniform priors under square

error, entropy and precautionary loss function. Zaka and Akhter [18] derived the different estimation methods for the parameters of Power function distribution. Zaka and Akhter [19] discussed the different modifications of the parameter estimation methods and proved that the modified estimators appear better than the traditional maximum likelihood, moments and percentile estimators.

In this paper, we obtain Bayesian estimators of the scale parameter of Nakagami distribution. For the posterior distribution of this parameter, we consider Uniform, Inverse Exponential and Levy priors. The three loss functions taken up are Squared Error Loss Function (SELF), Quadratic Loss Function (QLF) and Precautionary Loss Function (PLF). The performance of an estimator is assessed on the basis of its relative posterior risk. Monte Carlo Simulations are used to compare the performance of the estimators. It is discovered that the PLF produces the least posterior risk when uniform priors is used. SELF is the best when inverse exponential and Levy Priors are used.

2. Posterior Distributions under the assumption of different Priors

The objective of this chapter is to find Bayesian estimators of the scale parameter of Nakagami distribution under various loss functions and priors. A comparison of these estimates is also made.

An obvious choice for the non-informative prior is the Uniform distribution. The Uniform Prior relating to the scale parameter β is defined as:

$$P(\beta) = k$$

The likelihood function of Nakagami distribution is

$$L(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{(2\lambda)^n}{(\Gamma\lambda)^n \beta^{n\lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{2\lambda-1} e^{-\lambda/\beta \sum x_i^2}$$

$$L(\beta|\underline{x}) \propto \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\lambda/\beta \sum x_i^2}$$

The Posterior distribution of scale parameter β using uniform prior is

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{P(B)L(\beta|\underline{x})}{\int_0^\infty P(\beta)L(\beta|\underline{x})d\beta}$$

Therefore

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{\beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\lambda/\beta \sum x_i^2}}{\int_0^\infty \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\lambda/\beta \sum x_i^2} d\beta}$$

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{(\lambda \sum x_i^2)^{n\lambda-1} \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\lambda/\beta \sum x_i^2}}{\Gamma(n\lambda-1)} \quad ; \quad \lambda > 1/n \quad (2)$$

Now we use Inverse Exponential Prior and Levy Prior as informative prior because they are compatible with the parameter β of the Nakagami distribution. Similarly Posterior distributions using informative priors for the parameter β of the Nakagami distribution are derived below:

Inverse Exponential Prior relating to the scale parameter β is:

$$P(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^2 \alpha} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\beta \alpha}\right)} \quad 0 < \beta < \infty$$

Then the Posterior distribution of scale parameter β using Inverse Exponential Prior is

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{P(B)L(\beta|\underline{x})}{\int_0^{\infty} P(\beta)L(\beta|\underline{x})d\beta}$$

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{\beta^{-n\lambda-2} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}(\lambda \sum x^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha})} (\lambda \sum x^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha})^{n\lambda+1}}{\Gamma(n\lambda+1)} ; \quad \lambda > 1/n \quad (3)$$

Now the Levy Prior relating to the scale parameter β is given as:

$$P(\beta) = \sqrt{\frac{d}{2\pi}} \beta^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{d}{2\beta}} \quad 0 < \beta < \infty$$

Similarly the Posterior distribution of scale parameter β using Levy Prior is

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{P(B)L(\beta|\underline{x})}{\int_0^{\infty} P(\beta)L(\beta|\underline{x})d\beta}$$

$$P(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{(\lambda \sum x^2 + \frac{d}{2})^{n\lambda + \frac{1}{2}} \beta^{-n\lambda - \frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}(\lambda \sum x^2 + \frac{d}{2})}}{\Gamma(n\lambda + \frac{1}{2})} \quad (4)$$

3. Bayesian Estimation under three Loss Functions

In statistics and decision theory a loss function is a function that maps an event into a real number intuitively representing some "cost" associated with the event. Typically it is used for parameter estimation, and the event in question is some function of the difference between estimated and true values for an instance of data. The use of above lemma is made for the derivation of results.

3.1. Squared Error Loss Function (SELF)

The squared error loss function proposed by Legendre (1805) and Gauss (1810) is defined as:

$$L(\beta, \beta_{\text{SELF}}) = (\beta - \beta_{\text{SELF}})^2$$

The derivation of Bayes estimator under SELF using Uniform Prior is given below:

$$\beta_{\text{SELF}} = E(\beta)$$

$$E(\beta|\underline{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} \beta P(\beta|\underline{x})d\beta$$

$$E(\beta|\underline{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} \beta \frac{(\lambda \sum x^2)^{n\lambda-1} \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\lambda/\beta \sum x^2}}{\Gamma(n\lambda - 1)} d\beta$$

$$\beta_{\text{SELF}} = E(\beta|\underline{x}) = \frac{\lambda \sum x^2}{(n\lambda-2)} ; \quad \lambda > 2/n \quad (5)$$

3.2. Quadratic Loss Function (QLF)

A quadratic loss function is defined as:

$$\lambda(x) = C (t - x)^2$$

for some constant C, the value of the constant makes no difference to a decision, and can be ignored by setting it equal to 1.

The quadratic loss function can also be defined as

$$L(\beta, \beta_{QLF}) = \left(\frac{\beta - \beta_{QLF}}{\beta} \right)^2$$

The Bayes estimator under QLF using Uniform Prior is

$$\beta_{QLF} = \frac{E(\beta^{-1})}{E(\beta^{-2})}$$

$$E(\beta^{-1} | \underline{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} \beta^{-1} P(\beta | \underline{x}) d\beta$$

$$E(\beta^{-1} | \underline{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} \beta^{-1} \frac{(\lambda \Sigma x^2)^{n\lambda-1} \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\beta} \Sigma x^2}}{\Gamma(n\lambda-1)} d\beta$$

$$E(\beta^{-1} | \underline{x}) = \frac{(n\lambda - 1)}{\lambda \Sigma x^2} \quad ; \quad \lambda > 1/n$$

Now

$$E(\beta^{-2} | \underline{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} \beta^{-2} P(\beta | \underline{x}) d\beta$$

$$E(\beta^{-2} | \underline{x}) = \int_0^{\infty} \beta^{-2} \frac{(\lambda \Sigma x^2)^{n\lambda-1} \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\beta} \Sigma x^2}}{\Gamma(n\lambda-1)} d\beta$$

$$E(\beta^{-2} | \underline{x}) = \frac{n(n\lambda-1)}{\lambda(\Sigma x^2)^2} \quad ; \quad \lambda > 1/n$$

$$\beta_{QLF} = \frac{\Sigma x^2}{n} \quad (6)$$

3.3. Precautionary Loss Function (PLF)

Norstrom (1996) introduced an asymmetric precautionary loss function (PLF) which can be presented as:

$$L(\beta_{PLF}, \beta) = \frac{(\beta_{PLF} - \beta)^2}{\beta}$$

Similarly the Bayes estimator under PLF using Uniform Prior is derived as:

$$\beta_{PLF} = \{E(\beta^2 | \underline{x})\}^{1/2}$$

$$\{E(\beta^2 | \underline{x})\}^{1/2} = \sqrt{\int_0^{\infty} \beta^2 \frac{(\lambda \Sigma x^2)^{n\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(n\lambda-1)} \beta^{-n\lambda} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\beta} \Sigma x^2} d\beta} \quad ; \quad \lambda > 1/n$$

$$\beta_{PLF} = \{E(\beta^2|\underline{x})\}^{1/2} = \frac{\lambda \sum x^2}{\sqrt{(n\lambda-2)(n\lambda-3)}} \quad ; \lambda > 3/n \quad (7)$$

4. Posterior Risks Under Different Loss Functions

The Posterior risk of the Bayes estimator under different Loss functions using Uniform Prior are:

Using Square Error Loss function (SELF):

$$P(\beta_{SELF}) = E(\beta^2|\underline{x}) - \{E(\beta|\underline{x})\}^2$$

$$P(\beta_{SELF}) = \frac{(\lambda \sum x^2)^2}{(n\lambda-2)^2(n\lambda-3)} \quad ; \quad \lambda > 3/n \quad (8)$$

Quadratic Loss function (QLF):

$$P(\beta_{QLF}) = 1 - \frac{\{E(\beta^{-1}|\underline{x})\}^2}{E(\beta^{-2}|\underline{x})}$$

$$P(\beta_{QLF}) = \frac{1}{n\lambda} \quad (9)$$

Precautionary Loss Function (PLF):

$$P(\beta_{PLF}) = 2 \{ \beta_{PLF} - E(\beta|\underline{x}) \}$$

$$P(\beta_{PLF}) = 2 \left\{ \frac{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x^2}{\sqrt{(n\lambda-2)(n\lambda-3)}} - \frac{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x^2}{n\lambda-2} \right\} \quad ; \quad \lambda > 3/n \quad (10)$$

Similarly the expressions for Bayes Estimators and Risks under Inverse Exponential and Levy Priors can be derived in a similar manner.

5. Simulation Study

Using Easy fit Software, we have generated 5,000 Random numbers from Nakagami Distribution with different values of Parameters λ and β . A program has been developed in R language to obtain the Bayesian Estimates and Posterior Risks under 10,000 replications and averages of 10,000 outputs has been presented in the tables below.

Table 1. Bayes Estimates under ($\lambda= 1.5, \beta = 2$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda= 1.5, \beta = 2$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
5	2.69602	1.97708	2.98057	2.10068	1.65843	2.25649	2.18454	1.69908	2.35957
20	2.10873	1.96815	2.14742	2.00535	1.88002	2.03964	2.01842	1.89027	2.05352
40	2.03689	1.96900	2.05468	1.98259	1.91864	1.99932	1.99478	1.92991	2.01175
100	1.99738	1.97075	2.00416	1.98018	1.95413	1.98682	1.97990	1.95376	1.98655

Table 2. Bayes Estimates under ($\lambda= 1, \beta = 0.5$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda= 1, \beta = 2$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
5	3.27097	1.96258	4.00611	2.15220	1.53729	2.40623	2.29543	1.58915	2.60278
20	2.17396	1.95657	2.23699	2.00593	1.82358	2.05804	2.04132	1.85143	2.09577
40	2.06200	1.95890	2.08968	1.99242	1.89754	2.01780	1.99629	1.90008	2.02205
100	2.00051	1.96050	2.01080	1.96703	1.92846	1.97694	1.97306	1.93418	1.98305
150	1.98738	1.96088	1.99412	1.96728	1.94140	1.97387	1.97153	1.94550	1.97815
250	1.97345	1.95766	1.97744	1.96059	1.94503	1.96453	1.96669	1.95105	1.97064
400	1.96933	1.95949	1.97181	1.96163	1.95187	1.96409	1.96439	1.95460	1.96685

Table 3. Bayes Estimates under ($\lambda= 1, \beta = 2$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda= 1, \beta = 0.5$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
5	0.82309	0.49385	1.00808	0.69178	0.49413	0.77343	0.65965	0.45668	0.74797
20	0.55006	0.49506	0.56601	0.54418	0.49471	0.55831	0.53384	0.48418	0.54808
40	0.52039	0.49437	0.52737	0.51981	0.49505	0.52643	0.51388	0.48911	0.52051
100	0.50477	0.49468	0.50737	0.50493	0.49503	0.50748	0.50248	0.49258	0.50503
150	0.50049	0.49381	0.50219	0.50140	0.49480	0.50308	0.49937	0.49278	0.50105
250	0.49918	0.49518	0.50019	0.49862	0.49466	0.49962	0.49737	0.49341	0.49837
400	0.49731	0.49482	0.49793	0.49699	0.49451	0.49761	0.49673	0.49426	0.49735

Table 4. Bayes Estimates under ($\lambda= 2, \beta = 1.5$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda= 2, \beta = 1.5$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
5	1.85651	1.48520	1.98469	1.57760	1.31467	1.66294	1.61238	1.33197	1.70459
20	1.60065	1.52062	1.62214	1.50188	1.43036	1.52101	1.51273	1.43983	1.53225
40	1.55658	1.51766	1.56665	1.49630	1.45980	1.50574	1.49539	1.45869	1.50488
100	1.52993	1.51463	1.53381	1.48454	1.46984	1.48827	1.48736	1.47260	1.49110
150	1.52520	1.51504	1.52777	1.48319	1.47337	1.48567	1.48312	1.47328	1.48560
250	1.52100	1.51492	1.52253	1.48268	1.47677	1.48417	1.48296	1.47705	1.48445
400	1.51934	1.51555	1.52030	1.48117	1.47748	1.48210	1.48249	1.47879	1.48341

Table 5. Posterior Risks under ($\lambda = 1, \beta = 0.5$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda = 1, \beta = 0.5(p)$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
n									
5	0.40611	0.20000	0.18499	0.13156	0.14286	0.16331	0.14143	0.15385	0.17665
20	0.01866	0.05000	0.01595	0.01623	0.04545	0.02827	0.01610	0.04651	0.02848
40	0.00750	0.02500	0.00699	0.00708	0.02381	0.01324	0.00702	0.02410	0.01326
100	0.00265	0.01000	0.00260	0.00260	0.00980	0.00509	0.00259	0.00985	0.00509
150	0.00172	0.00667	0.00170	0.00170	0.00658	0.00336	0.00169	0.00660	0.00336
250	0.00101	0.00400	0.00101	0.00100	0.00397	0.00200	0.00100	0.00398	0.00200
400	0.00062	0.00250	0.00063	0.00062	0.00249	0.00124	0.00062	0.00249	0.00125

Table 6. Posterior Risks under ($\lambda = 1.5, \beta = 2$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda = 1.5, \beta = 2(p)$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
n									
5	1.82929	0.30000	0.28454	0.76187	0.10526	0.31162	0.89673	0.11111	0.35007
20	0.17026	0.07500	0.03870	0.14320	0.03125	0.06856	0.14763	0.03175	0.07021
40	0.07404	0.03750	0.01779	0.06768	0.01613	0.03346	0.06916	0.01626	0.03395
100	0.02733	0.01500	0.00678	0.02650	0.00658	0.01327	0.02657	0.00660	0.01331
150	0.01791	0.01000	0.00448	0.01749	0.00441	0.00881	0.01758	0.00442	0.00884
250	0.01059	0.00600	0.00266	0.01045	0.00265	0.00528	0.01049	0.00266	0.00529
400	0.00657	0.00375	0.00166	0.00651	0.00166	0.00329	0.00652	0.00166	0.00330

Table 7. Posterior Risks under ($\lambda = 1, \beta = 2$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda = 1, \beta = 2(p)$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
n									
5	6.40664	0.20000	0.73513	1.34948	0.14286	0.50807	1.77374	0.15385	0.61469
20	0.29181	0.05000	0.06303	0.22165	0.04545	0.10422	0.23614	0.04651	0.10889
40	0.11781	0.02500	0.02768	0.10411	0.02381	0.05076	0.10609	0.02410	0.05152
100	0.04167	0.01000	0.01029	0.03946	0.00980	0.01982	0.03990	0.00985	0.01998
150	0.02705	0.00667	0.00675	0.02614	0.00658	0.01318	0.02635	0.00660	0.01325
250	0.01583	0.00400	0.00399	0.01550	0.00397	0.00787	0.01563	0.00398	0.00791
400	0.00979	0.00250	0.00248	0.00967	0.00249	0.00491	0.00971	0.00249	0.00493

Table 8. Posterior Risks under ($\lambda = 2, \beta = 1.5$)

Sample Size	Uniform Prior $\lambda = 2, \beta = 1.5(p)$			Inverse Exponential Prior			Levy Prior		
	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF	SELF	QLF	PLF
5	0.54152	0.40000	0.12818	0.30096	0.08333	0.17067	0.33534	0.08696	0.18442
20	0.07090	0.10000	0.02149	0.05926	0.02381	0.03827	0.06092	0.02410	0.03904
40	0.03185	0.05000	0.01008	0.02869	0.01220	0.01888	0.02884	0.01227	0.01899
100	0.01194	0.02000	0.00388	0.01113	0.00495	0.00745	0.01120	0.00496	0.00748
150	0.00786	0.01333	0.00257	0.00738	0.00331	0.00496	0.00739	0.00332	0.00496
250	0.00466	0.00800	0.00153	0.00441	0.00199	0.00297	0.00442	0.00199	0.00297
400	0.00290	0.00500	0.00095	0.00275	0.00125	0.00185	0.00276	0.00125	0.00186

6. Summary and Conclusions

The posterior risk based on all priors and for all loss functions, relating to the scale parameter of a Nakagami distribution, expectedly decrease with the increase in sample size. Using the Uniform prior, the posterior risk increases with increase in the value of β whatever the value of λ may be. At the same level of β , the posterior risk decreases with for a Nakagami distribution with a larger λ . For the same unknown β value, the posterior risk decreases for a Nakagami distribution with a larger λ . The performance of loss function is dependent on the values of λ and β jointly. Using all Priors, the posterior risk is inversely proportional to the choice of values of the β .

The posterior risk using Uniform prior is independent of the parameter β , but it tends to increase for larger values of the parameter λ of Nakagami distribution. The posterior risk after checking the effect of hyper parameter using Inverse Exponential and Levy Priors is also free of β , but for the fixed λ , the posterior risk decreases with increase in β .

With Uniform Prior the posterior risk increases when β increases and λ is kept constant. In situations when λ increases and β is held, the posterior risk decreases. Using Inverse Exponential and Levy Priors and after checking the effect of hyper parameter posterior risk decreases when β increases and λ is constant. In situations when λ increases, the posterior risk decreases whatever β may be. The performance of loss function is dependent on λ and β jointly.

When $\lambda = 1.5$ and $\lambda = 2$ and all values of β for $n=5$ and for $n=20$ and above for all values of λ, β the PLF under Uniform prior shows minimum posterior risk than Levy prior and Inverse Exponential prior. Affect of hyper Parameters did not affect the mentioned results. The PLF of Uniform prior showed Least Posterior risk than Levy and Inverse Exponential Priors. While in all other cases of SELF and QLF informative Priors give better results than uninformative Uniform prior.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions in improving the manuscript.

References

- [1] C. W. Hoffman, "The m-Distribution, a general formula of intensity of rapid fading", *Statistical Methods in Radio Wave Propagation: Proceedings of a Symposium*, Pergamon Press, (1960) June 18-20, pp. 3-36.
- [2] V. A. Aalo, "Performance of maximal-ratio diversity systems in a correlated Nakagami fading environment", *IEEE Transactions on Communication*, vol. 43, no. 8, (1995), pp. 2360-2369.
- [3] A. Abid and M. Kaveh, "Performance comparison of three different estimators for the Nakagami m parameter using Monte Carlo simulation", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 4, (2000), pp. 119- 121.
- [4] D. T. Yang and J. Y. Lin, "Food Availability, Entitlement and the Chinese Femine of 1959-61", *Economic Journal*, vol. 110, (2000), pp. 136-58.
- [5] J. Cheng and N. C. Beaulieu, "Maximum likelihood estimation of the Nakagami m parameter", *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 5, no. 3, (2001).
- [6] Q. T. Zhang, "A note on the estimation of Nakagami-m fading parameter", *IEEE Communication Letters*, vol. 6, no. 6, (2002), pp. 237-238.
- [7] K. A. Shanker, "Chromium toxicity in plants", *Environment International*, vol. 31, (2005), pp. 739-753.
- [8] P. Tsui, C. Huang and S. Wang, "Use of Nakagami distribution and logistics compression in ultrasonic tissue characterization", *Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 2, (2006), pp. 69-73.
- [9] K. Kim and H. A. Latchman, "Statistical traffic modeling of MPEG frame size experiments and analysis", (2009).
- [10] S. Sarkar, N. Goel and B. Mathur, "Adequacy of Nakagami-m distribution function to derive GIUH", *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 10, (2009), pp. 1070-1079.
- [11] H. Tsui, C. Chang, N. Huang and K. Chang, "Use of Nakagami statistics and empirical mode decomposition for ultrasound tissue characterization by a nonfocused transducer", *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 35, no. 12, (2009), pp. 2055-2068
- [12] J. Schwartz, T. R. Gowdin and E. D. Giles, "Improved maximum likelihood estimation of the shape parameter in the Nakagami distribution", *Mathematics Subjects Classsification*, vol. 62, (2011), pp. 30-38.
- [13] S. Dey, "Bayesian estimation of the parameter and reliability function of an inverse Rayleigh distribution", *Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 1, (2012), pp. 113-124.
- [14] N. Feroze and M. Aslam, "A note on Bayesian analysis of error function distribution under different loss functions", *International Journal of Probability and Statistics*, vol. 1, no. 5, (2012), pp. 153-159.
- [15] N. Feroze, "Estimation of scale parameter of inverse gaussian distribution under a Bayesian framework using different loss functions", *Scientific Journal of Review*, vol. 1, no. 3, (2012), pp. 39-52.
- [16] A. M. S. Kazmi, M. Aslam and S. Ali, "Prefernece of prior for the class of life-time distributions under different loss functions", *Pak.J.Statist.*, vol. 28, no. 4, (2012), pp. 467-487.
- [17] F. Yahgmaei, M. Babanezhad and S. O. Moghadam, "Bayesian estimation of the scale parameter of inverse Weibull distribution under the asymmetric loss functions", *Journal of Probability and Statistics*, (2013).
- [18] A. Zaka and A. S. Akhter, "Methods for estimating the parameters of the Power Function Distribution", *Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operational Research*, vol. 9, no. 2, (2013). pp. 213-224.
- [19] A. Zaka and A. S. Akhter, "A note on Modified Estimators for the Parameters of the Power Function Distribution", *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, vol. 59, (2013). pp. 71-84.