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Abstract

This paper proposes a novel secure query processing model for semantic sensor networks. A semantic sensor network (SSN) is a sensor network which includes semantics of sensory data and context information, and relationships between the semantics. In brief, SSN is an extension of the current Sensor Network (SN) and realized by using Semantic Web (SW) technologies. Although various researches have been activated on SSN, there is little activity on how to access data in semantic sensor networks in safe. This paper proposes a new access control model for secure query processing under semantic sensor networks. The proposed security model is based on RBAC (Role-Based Access Control) and enables secure access control of ontology for SSNs.

1. Introduction

With the growth of Semantic Web (SW) technology, various research areas such as Grid computing, Sensor Network (SN), Geographical Information System (GIS) have been adopted the SW technologies [1-3]. Semantic Sensor Network (SSN) is an extension of the current SN in which sensor data and context information are given semantics, i.e., well-defined meanings. To do this, the SW technologies such as the Resources Description Language (RDF) are used [4-6]. Until now, most of researches on SSN have been focused on metadata management, integration, visualization, reasoning, data fusion, and so on [7-15]. Even though security issue is very important in the SSN environment, little attention has been given to this issue.

This paper proposes a security model for the SSN environment. The proposed model is based on RBAC (Role-Based Access Control) and the model is named SS-RBAC, Secure query processing model for Semantic Sensor Networks based on RBAC.

Why is our proposal based on the RBAC model? As already mentioned, SSN is an extension of the current SN using SW technologies. For example, for describing metadata (semantics, meanings) of sensory data and context information, RDF can be used. The description is formed as an ontology. The ontology is stored to a storage system, and one of ontology storage systems used in the SW field can be chosen. Many storage systems have been developed to store Web ontologies [16-20]. A remarkable point is that most of storages systems are based on relational database model. It means an ontology built for a SSN is naturally stored into a relational database management system. The security model of relational database is also based on RBAC. Therefore, the SS-RBAC model is designed based on RBAC.
2. Preliminaries

This section describes key notations used in this paper and RDF model. A set of notations in Table 1 is defined to formally describe content of this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>A set of nodes; includes URIs; might be subjects and objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>A set of edges (arcs); corresponds to predicates in RDF graphs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>A set of literals, constant values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>A set of subjects, $S = {s_1, s_2, ..., s_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_i$</td>
<td>One of subjects, $s_i \in S$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>A set of objects, $O = {o_1, o_2, ..., o_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$o_i$</td>
<td>One of objects, $o_i \in O$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>A set of predicates, $P = {p_1, p_2, ..., p_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_i$</td>
<td>One of predicates, $p_i \in P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>A set of tables in a relational database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_i$</td>
<td>One of tables, $T; t_i \in T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_i.f_j$</td>
<td>A field of the table $t_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>A set of views, $V = {v_1, v_2, ..., v_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>A set of roles, $R = {r_1, r_2, ..., r_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>A set of users, $U = {u_1, u_2, ..., u_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>A set of classes, $C = {c_1, c_2, ..., c_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>One of classes, $c_i \in C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>A set of individuals, $I = {i_1, i_2, ..., i_n}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_k$</td>
<td>One of individuals, $I; i_k \in I$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. SS-RBAC

This section describes the concept of our approach, access types, and conceptual model for SS-RBAC.

3.1. Our approach

SS-RBAC is based on relational database security model, and it means that RDF ontology storages based on relational model are used for managing a semantic sensor ontology including sensory data, context information, concepts, and relationships of a SSN.

The relational database model is based on RBAC and physically establishes security policies using GRANT operator. The relational security model supports the concepts such as privilege, role, and user group. Using the concepts, we can define fine-grained security policies for the semantic sensor ontology.

Figure 1 illustrates the conceptual model for SS-RBAC proposed in this paper. A current SN consists of several types of data as follows [8]:

- Sensory data: states acquired from sensors
- Context information: Information in which the sensory data is generated; physical properties of sensor nodes such as time, position, data types, and so on.

However, a SSN additionally includes metadata containing the both types of data. The metadata refers to concepts and relationships between concepts. Figure 3 shows an example of semantic sensor ontology for SSN in [7].

![Semantic Sensor Ontology](image1)

**Figure 1. Conceptual model for SS-RBAC**

In Figure 2, VideoSegment_1 is a sensory data and BwayAt42nd is a context data describing the location of the traffic camera “Traffic Camera 10036-1”. “Traffic Camera 10036-1” is one of instances (Individuals) the class “FixedPositionTrafficCamera” and “hasSegmentWidth” is a relationship between “Audio Segment” and “xsd:duration” corresponding to a subject and an object in RDF graph.

![Semantic Sensor Ontology](image2)

**Figure 2. A semantic sensor ontology example**

### 3.2. Access types

In Figure 2, a SSN contains sensory data, context information, concepts, and relationships. These types of data are built as an ontology. The ontology is stored into a storage based on
A relational database model, and thus the access control of the ontology is accomplished on the database storing the ontology.

As a result, access types of users could be classified into four classes as follows [21]:

- Class-I: whole data in a table (Table level)
- Class-II: all data in specific fields (Column level)
- Class-III: all data in specific tuples (Row level)
- Class-IV: random data (Cell level)

### 3.3. Definition of SS-RBAC

Before defining SS-RBAC, the relational database model is first briefly defined as follows.

**Definition 1 (Relational Database)** A relational database is denoted by 2-tuple $ð\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V}$$\rangle$, where:

- $\mathcal{T}$ is a set of base tables storing initially a given sensor ontology,
- $\mathcal{V}$ is a set of views including a set of data in the base tables.

In fact, the relational model can be defined in detail, but this paper defines it with the two components, i.e., tables, views, which are key components in the SS-RBAC model proposed in this paper.

SS-RBAC is defined as follows.

**Definition 2 (SS-RBAC)** SS-RBAC is denoted by 4-tuples $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{U}, \Delta)$, where:

- $\mathcal{R}$ is a relational database containing sets of tables and views,
- $\mathcal{U}$ is a set of users,
- $\Delta$ is a set of operations to define roles, create views, evaluate authorities, and assign policies to users.

Figure 3 shows the overall SS-RBAC framework. In this figure, an ontology is given and parsed and stored into the selected storage. Before the process, access control policies are established and reflected to the given ontology. The overall process for defining security policies is as follows:

1. Creating user groups
2. Creating roles
3. Creating views
4. Assigning roles to users or user groups
5. Evaluating the defined security policies

![Figure 3. SS-RBAC framework](image-url)
3.4. Defining processes of security policies

SS-RBAC is based on relational database security model, and thus the overall defining process of security policy is deeply similar to the process of relational databases. First, a security manager selects objects, i.e., data set to be permitted or not. After the selection, a role is created, and proper privileges are assigned to the role. The created role is assign to users or user groups and a security policy is created. Figure 4 illustrates the overall process.

4. Evaluation

This section describes the concept of our approach, access types, and conceptual model for SS-RBAC.

4.1. Experimental environment

In this paper, the Jena storage is used for the implementation of SS-RBAC. Jena is one of representative frameworks for developing Semantic Web tools, system, applications, and services. Jena provides a storage model based on relational database.

Various security rules for evaluation are defined, and different authorities are assigned to each user considering the access control levels, i.e., table-level, column-level, row-level, and cell-level.

4.2. Evaluation

We conducted an experiment with the aforementioned experiment environment. The experimental item is about the precision of access control. In other words, we check the proposed security model can support a secure query processing on the semantic sensor ontology or not. In the experiment, valid data sets have been returned to users according to the security policies. This evaluation also enables the verification of the policy generation algorithm.

SS-RBAC is based on relational database security model. It provides many advantages and key good points are summarized as follows:

- Ease of use: Relational database is much familiar to users. Therefore, SS-RBAC also supports the characteristic.
• High stability: For several decades, the relational database and its security model have been validated and verified. Hence SS-RBAC also provides the same advantage.
• Finer-grained access control: Relational database provides the mechanism to control access at table level and row level. For the secure ontology query processing is required a finer-grained access control. SS-RBAC can define finer-grained security policies.

5. Conclusion

This paper introduced a security model for secure query processing of semantic sensor ontology. The proposed model is named SS-RBAC, which is based on relational database security model. The conceptual model, framework, and process have been described. This paper also showed an evaluation. The proposed security model, SS-RBAC provides many advantages such as ease of use, high stability, and finer-grained access control support.
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